



2026:DHC:7920



\$~55

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of Decision: 16.09.2026

CNR No. DLHC010098032026+ **W.P.(CRL) 833/2026**

ASHOK CHADHA AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Sarabjeet Kaur, Advocate with
petitioners in person.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for the
State with SI Braj Prakash.
Respondent no. 2 (*through video
conferencing*).**CORAM: JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA****JUDGMENT (ORAL)**

1. Petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 332/2024 of Police Station Malviya Nagar, Delhi for offence under Section 498A/406/34 IPC on the ground that complainant *de facto* (*respondent no.2*) has settled the dispute with the petitioner.

2. State has no objection to this petition. Statements of parties have already been recorded by the concerned Joint Registrar.

W.P. (CRL.) 833/2026

Page 1 of 2 pages

**GIRISH
KATHPALIA**Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=delhi, email=delhi@nic.in, serial=110001,
c=IN, postalCode=110001,
serialNumber=010001, postalCode=110001,
email=delhi@nic.in, postalCode=110001,
c=IN, postalCode=110001,
Date: 2026.09.16 17:21:41 +05'30'

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By: RAHUL YADAV
Signing Date: 16.09.2026
17:21:41



2026:DHC:7920



3. The respondent no.2 is present through videoconferencing today and is identified by IO/SI Braj Prakash. I have spoken with respondent no.2 and it is stated by her that she has compromised all disputes with the petitioners. Marriage between petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 stands already dissolved by way of decree of divorce. The respondent no.2 submits that she has received complete full and final settlement amount in lieu of her entire *stridhan* and maintenance. It is stated by respondent no. 2 that one daughter was born from the wedlock with petitioner no. 1 and she is in the custody of respondent no. 2 only. Respondent no.2 submits that now she does not wish to continue prosecution of petitioner.

4. Having spoken with respondent no.2, I am satisfied that it would be in the interest of justice not to push the parties through full dress trial.

5. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 332/2024 of Police Station Malviya Nagar, Delhi for offence under Section 498A/406/34 IPC as well as proceedings arising out of the same are quashed.

GIRISH KATHPALIA
GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401d889627a77b265fffe4afe
c45569bf3962c0ff44535d413597626acca,
ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,CID=7047638,
postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3eb0796431ec45c07b5d1
5570996b40f80cbd2eee60402c487965f80
1e26fa, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.09.16 17:08:28 +05'30'

SEPTEMBER 16, 2026
'rs'

W.P. (CRL.) 833/2026

Page 2 of 2 pages

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By: RAHUL YADAV
Signing Date: 16.09.2026
17:21:41