



2026:DHC:8226



\$~54

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

%

Date of Decision: 23.09.2026

CNR No.DLHC010456622026

+ CRL.REV.P.(NDPS) 31/2026, CRL.M.A. 29744/2026

LALIT AHUJA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mohd. Yasir, Adv.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Priyanka Dalal, APP for State.
SI Naveen, AGS (Crime Branch).**CORAM:****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA****SACHIN DATTA, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present revision has been filed by the petitioner who has been granted regular bail by the learned ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS), New Delhi, vide order dated 30.07.2025 in FIR No. 123/2025, PS Crime Branch, assails the order dated 19.08.2026 passed by the learned Special Judge (NDPS-09), Special Exclusive Court, New Delhi District, Rouse Avenue Court Complex, whereby the application of the petitioner seeking modification of the said bail order was dismissed as withdrawn.

2. The limited grievance canvassed by the petitioner is as regards the condition vide Paragraph 20 (v) of the order dated 30.07.2025 whereby bail was granted to the petitioner. The same reads as under:-



2026:DHC:8226



20. After considering the rival contention of the parties, considering the facts & circumstances of the present case, and considering the fact that no grounds of arrest were communicated to the applicant/accused, rendering his arrest to be vitiated as being illegal, in my considered opinion, he has made out his case for regular bail. Hence, the applicant/accused Lalit Ahuja is admitted to regular bail on following conditions:-

(v) He shall mark his attendance in the PS concerned, on the first Monday of each calendar month, till the investigation is completed and the trial is completed.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner is a resident of Ludhiana, Punjab and is required to travel every month to Delhi solely to comply with the aforesaid condition.
4. Learned APP for the State has been heard.
5. The object of the aforesaid condition was evidently to keep track of the whereabouts of the petitioner and to ensure his availability during trial.
6. The same object can be achieved without requiring the revisionist to travel from Ludhiana to Delhi every month.
7. It is acceded by the Investigating Officer that the petitioner has complied with the conditions regularly since his release and no allegation of misuse of liberty has been made against him.
8. Accordingly, the condition mentioned in Paragraph 20 (v) of the order dated 30.07.2025 is modified to the extent that instead of marking attendance at the concerned Police Station in Delhi every month, the petitioner shall mark his attendance on the first Monday of every month at the concerned Police Station within whose jurisdiction the petitioner resides at Ludhiana.



2026:DHC:8226



9. It shall be ensured that necessary intimation in this regard is also given by the petitioner to the concerned IO.
10. The concerned Police Station at Ludhiana shall also maintain a record with regard to the aforesaid and shall forward the report from time to time to the concerned IO.
11. All other conditions imposed *vide* order dated 30.07.2025 shall remain unaltered.
12. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

SACHIN DATTA, J

SEPTEMBER 23, 2026/r,sd