



2026:DHC:8483



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**% *Date of Decision: 29.09.2026*# **CNR No. DLHC010251672026**+ **CRL.M.C. 4343/2026****RAJENDER KUMAR & ORS.**

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Adit Singh Verma, Advocate

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Manjeet Arya, APP for State with
SI Pratiksha
Mr. Ratnakar Maltiyar, Advocate for
R2**CORAM: JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA****JUDGMENT (ORAL)**

1. Petitioners seek quashing of case FIR No. 332/2019 of PS Kanjhawala for offence under Section 323/354/354B/451/506/509/34 IPC on the ground that the complainant *de facto* (respondent no.2) has compromised the disputes with the petitioners.

2. At the outset, learned APP for State submits that in the other petition listed today for quashing cross-FIR, the prosecutrix has already been chief

CRL.M.C. 4343/2026

Page 1 of 2 pages

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By: RAHUL YADAV
Signing Date: 29.09.2026
17:10:34**GIRISH
KATHPALIA**
Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=RAHUL YADAV, email=rahul.yadav@delhi.nic.in, ou=HIGH
COURT OF DELHI, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
serialNumber=1100003, st=Delhi,
emailAddress=rahul.yadav@delhi.nic.in, c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=RAHUL YADAV, email=rahul.yadav@delhi.nic.in, ou=HIGH
COURT OF DELHI, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.09.29 17:10:42 +05'30'



2026:DHC:8483



examined and she has fully supported prosecution, so in view of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Narender Singh and Others vs State of Punjab and Another***, (2014) 6 SCC 466, the said FIR cannot be quashed.

3. So far as the present petition is concerned, there are heinous allegations against the present petitioners that they forcibly entered home of the prosecutrix (*respondent no. 2*), abused her, groped her breasts, disrobed her and after touching her private parts, threatened to kill her entire family.

4. In response to a specific question, learned counsel for respondent no.2 on instructions of his client present in courtroom submits that the allegations mentioned in the FIR are truthful.

5. That being so, in view of law laid down in the cases of ***Narender Singh*** (supra) and ***Anand Kumar @ Sanjay Lalwani vs The State of Madhya Pradesh & Ors.***, SLP (CRL.) No. 19051/2025 decided on 31.08.2026, the subject FIR cannot be quashed.

6. Therefore, the petition is dismissed.

GIRISH
KATHPALIA

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401d6889b27a77b265fffe4efec4556
9a2992c9b4835d43597826cacc, ou=HIGH
COURT OF DELHI, CN=7047638,
postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c0705d155709
992d40f802d42ee4e0402c487965f801e26fa,
cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.09.29 17:09:25 +05'30'

GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)

SEPTEMBER 29, 2026/as

CRL.M.C. 4343/2026

Page 2 of 2 pages

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By: RAHUL YADAV
Signing Date: 29.09.2026
17:10:34