



from his possession, 5.070 kg *ganja* was recovered, so he also was arrested. On the disclosure statement of Ratan Rai, co-accused Karpai was apprehended and from her possession, 86 pouches of *ganja* were recovered so she also was arrested. Thereafter, a raid was carried out at the residence of the present accused/applicant but he was not found at home.

3. On behalf of accused/applicant, the arguing counsel has not appeared, so passover is requested by proxy counsel. But on account of pending heavy board, passover is not possible. As such, I have heard learned APP for State and examined the record.

4. Learned APP for State, assisted by Investigating Officer/ASI Shridhar, opposes the anticipatory bail application of the accused/applicant and submits that as evidence collected against the accused/applicant, the Investigating Officer has found that 25 phone calls were made by the present accused/applicant to co-accused Ved Prakash during the period from 16.06.2025 to 07.10.2025.

5. No other evidence has been collected against the present accused/applicant as per prosecution.

6. It would also be relevant to record that on last date, after preliminary hearing, the accused/applicant was granted interim protection from arrest till this day, subject to his joining investigation. There is no allegation that the



9. Going a step deeper, as mentioned above, the only evidence collected by police against the present accused/applicant is the telephonic connectivity in the sense that during the period from 16.06.2025 to 07.10.2025, total 25 phone calls were made by the present accused/applicant to co-accused Ved Prakash. Admittedly, none of those calls was intercepted in order to

BAIL APPLN. 2446/2026

Page 3 of 4 pages

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By:RAHUL YADAV
Signing Date:15.09.2026
16:47:14

GIRISH
KATHPALIA

Digitally signed by GIRESH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401d889b27a77b2655f9e4af
e4c5569af3962c6fb4835d435f97626cacc
a, ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI, CN=
7047638, postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5d
15570996b40f80c2bd2ee6e0402ca487965f
D01e26fa, cn=GIRESH KATHPALIA
Date: 2009.05.15 16:42:30 +05'30'



2026:DHC:7837



ascertain as to what was being spoken between the two persons. More importantly, the phone number, which is alleged to be of co-accused Ved Prakash is admittedly not in his name according to Customer Application Form.

10. Considering the above circumstances, I find no reason to deprive liberty to the accused/applicant.

11. Therefore, this anticipatory bail application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of his arrest, the accused/applicant shall be released on bail, subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the IO/SO.

12. Accompanying application also stands disposed of.

GIRISH
KATHPALIA

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401dd889b27a77b2f65fffe4afec
45569af3962c0fb4835d435f97626cacca,
ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI, c=D-7047638,
postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5d1
5570996b40f80cb2ee660402c487965f80
1e2d6a, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.09.15 16:43:19 +05'30'

**GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)**

SEPTEMBER 15, 2026/dr