



\$~40

*** IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of Decision: 01.09.2026

#

CNR No. DLHC010209352026

 $+$

W.P.(CRL) 1515/2026

VINOD AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Bhupesh Saini, Advocate.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC for State
with Amrit Kumar, PS Aman Vihar.
Mr. Hritik, Advocate for R-2 with R-
2 in person.

CORAM: JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

J U D G M E N T (ORAL)

1. The petitioners seek quashing of case FIR No. 1108/2022 of PS Aman Vihar for offence under Section 406/498A/34 IPC on the ground that complainant *de facto* (*respondent no.2*) has compromised the disputes with the petitioners.

2. State has no objection to this petition. Statements of parties have already been recorded by the concerned Joint Registrar.

W.P.(CRL) 1515/2026

Page 1 of 2 pages

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By:RAHUL YADAV
Signing Date:01.09.2026
17:17:25

GIRISH
KATHPALIA

Digitally signed by GERRIT KATHPALIA
DN: cn=, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401dd889b277a7295f9ff64efc4556
9af3962cf8b48325d4325f97626cacc, ou=HIGH
COURT OF DELHI, c=IN, postalCode=110005, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=31866796451ec45c07b5d15570
996b40180c3d2ae0402c487965f801a26fa,
cn=GERRIT KATHPALIA



2026:DHC:7375



3. The respondent no.2 is present in Court today and is identified by IO/SI Amrit Kumar. I have spoken with respondent no.2 in Hindi and it is stated by her that she has compromised all disputes with the petitioners. Marriage between petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 stands already dissolved by way of decree of divorce. No child was born from wedlock of petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2. Today, respondent no.2 in Court has received from petitioners the balance amount of Rs. 2,00,000/- towards full and final settlement and now respondent no.2 submits that she has received complete full and final settlement amount in lieu of her entire *stridhan* and maintenance. Respondent no.2 submits that now she does not wish to continue prosecution of petitioners.

4. Having spoken with respondents no.2 and 3, I am satisfied that it would be in the interest of justice not to push the parties through a full dress trial.

5. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 1108/2022 of PS Aman Vihar for offence under Section 406/498A/34 IPC as well as proceedings arising out of the same are quashed.

GIRISH
KATHPALIA

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401d889b27a77b265fffe4fec4
5569af3962c6fb4835d435f97626acca,
ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI, c=IN, postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5d155
70996b40f90bc22ee60402c487965f801e26
fo, c=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.09.01 17:18:33 +05'30'

GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)

SEPTEMBER 01, 2026/dr

W.P.(CRL) 1515/2026

Page 2 of 2 pages

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By: RAHUL YADAV
Signing Date: 01.09.2026
17:17:25