



2026:DHC:8192-DB



\$~46

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
CNR No. DLHC010449602026
+ **W.P.(C) 13815/2026 and CM APPLs. 64680-681/2026**
GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND OTHERSPetitioners

Through: Mr. Amit Peswani for Ms.
Rupali Bandhopadhyaya, CGSC with Mr.
Abhijeet Kumar and Ma. Amisha Gupta,
Advs. with HC (Min) Vishal Rana

versus

GAJENDER SINGHRespondent
Through: Mr. Anil Singal and Ms.
Nandita Sharma, Advocate

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIVEDITA ANIL SHARMA

ORDER (ORAL)

% **21.09.2026**

C. HARI SHANKAR, J.

1. OA 1850/2016, from the order dated 21 January 2026 passed in which the present writ petition emanates, was instituted by persons who aspired to the post of Head Constable in the Delhi Police. The selection process involved a written examination. The respondents, as the applicants before the Tribunal, raised grievances regarding some of the questions in the examination, *inter alia*, on the ground that they were out of syllabus and were vague and incapable of being answered. The Central Administrative Tribunal, before whom the *lis* was brought, has, by the order dated 21 January 2026, referred the matter

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AWTP.(C) 13815/2026
KUMAR
Signing Date: 23.09.2026
18:45:19

Page 1 of 3



2026:DHC:8192-DB



to a Committee presided over by one Dr. Bhushan Tilak Kaul, specifically nominated by the Tribunal, with an honorarium of ₹ 1.25 Lakh.

2. The Delhi Police is before us challenging the order. Mr. Amit Peswani, who appears on behalf of Ms. Rupali Bandhopadhyia, learned CGSC, submits that challenge to the impugned judgment is restricted only to the extent it appoints an outsider to head the Committee. He submits that the petitioners have Committees which look into such grievances and that it would be appropriate that the matter is examined by one such Committee.

3. Mr. Singal, who appears for the respondents, apprehends that the person who sets the question paper would be a member of the Committee, which might be counter-productive.

4. Though there is no legal embargo to the person setting a question paper being a member of the Committee, examining the grievances against the questions, in view of the nature of the grievances in the present case, we requested Mr. Peswani to take instructions as to whether the Committee could be so constituted as not to involve the person who set the question paper.

5. On instructions from HC (Min) Vishal Rana, who is present in Court, Mr. Peswani undertakes that the Committee which would examine the respondent's grievances would not include the person who set the question paper.



2026:DHC:8192-DB



6. We, therefore, modify the impugned judgment of the Tribunal to the aforesaid limited extent.

7. Inasmuch as the order under challenge was passed as far back as in January 2026 and the case involves the candidature of a candidate, we grant four weeks and no more to the petitioner to undertake the exercise of examining the grievances of the respondent and pass a reasoned and speaking order in that regard which would be duly communicated to the respondent.

8. The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J

NIVEDITA ANIL SHARMA, J

SEPTEMBER 21, 2026/ yg